Preconcentration and Speciation of Chromium Using Dispersive Liquid-Liquid Microextraction; Application to Milk and Different Water Samples
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A simple and rapid microextraction procedure based on dispersive liquid-liquid microextraction (DLLME) was developed for determination and speciation of trace amounts of chromium (Cr) by flame atomic absorption spectrometry (FAAS). In the proposed approach, chloroform and methanol were used as extraction and dispersive solvents. A new Schiff's base ligand, bis(2-methoxy benzaldehyde) ethylene diimine was used as complexing agent. Effect of extraction solvent, disperser solvent, the volume of extraction and disperser solvent, pH of the aqueous solution and extraction time on extraction procedure were investigated and optimized. Under the optimum conditions, the calibration graph was linear in the range of 1-50 µg l\textsuperscript{-1} with a limit of detection of 0.7 µg l\textsuperscript{-1}. The relative standard deviation (RSD\%) was 2.7% (n = 7) for Cr(III). The relative recoveries of spiked samples were between 98% and 102% and preconcentration factor (PF) was 20. The proposed method is a simple, fast, accurate, highly stable and selective and was applied for determination of chromium in milk and water samples with satisfactory results.
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**INTRODUCTION**

The environmental pollution by heavy metals has become a public and scientific concern, because they risk human health and biological systems. The toxicological and biological characteristics of chromium are related to its chemical forms. Cr(III) is the essential nutrition and has important role in transferring sugar, protein and fat [1,2], while Cr(VI) is toxic to human and living organisms and very carcinogenic [3-5]. Chromium enters to the environment by industrial activities such as leather tanning [soluble Cr(III)], manufacturing catalysts, pigments [insoluble Cr(VI)], fungicides, the ceramics and glass industry, photography, electroplating [soluble Cr(VI)], corrosion control, anti-algae agents, antifreeze, cement, copier servicing, production of high-fidelity magnetic audio tapes, tattooing, textile manufacturing, welding of alloys or steel, and wood preservatives (i.e. Acid Copper Chromate) [6] or environmental sources such as airborne emissions from chemical plants and incineration facilities, cement dust, contaminated landfill, effluents from chemical plants, asbestos lining erosion, road dust from catalytic converter erosion and asbestos brakes, tobacco smoke, topsoil rocks and corrosion of the pipes with inhibitors [7]. Therefore, determination and speciation of chromium in environmental samples are of great importance.

Distillation, liquid-liquid extraction (LLE) and soxhelt extraction are sample preparation methods. LLE reduces the matrix effect, but it utilizes a large volume of organic solvents that are toxic and pollutant to the environment. Coprecipitation [8,9], cloud-point extraction (CPE) [10,11], ion-exchange separation [12], liquid-liquid extraction (LLE) [13,14] and solid-phase extraction (SPE) [15,16] are the mostly used techniques for determination of chromium.
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In CPE, the extracted analyte cannot be injected directly because of the surfactant (rich phase) high viscosity and in Homogeneous liquid–liquid extraction (HLLE), acid and salt are utilized which cause exothermic reactions, so they are replaced with Liquid-phase microextraction (LPME) method which minimizes the volume of organic solvent, time and expense. LPME is classified to Single-drop microextraction (SDME), Dispersive liquid–liquid microextraction (DLLME), Hollow-fiber liquid-phase microextraction (HF-LPME), Solid drop microextraction (SDLPME), and Directly-suspended droplet microextraction (DSDME) [17]. SDME is limited by rate of stirring which cause dislodgment of drop and HF-LPME has some disadvantages such as, pre-conditioning of membrane and the memory effects when membranes are reused [18], while DLLME is simple, easy to operate, low cost, high recovery and utilizes low consumption of toxic organic solvents.

Different analytical methods are used for recognizing chromium such as, flame atomic absorption spectrometry (FAAS) [19], inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry (ICP-AES) [20], inductively coupled plasma-optical atomic emission spectrometry (ICP-OES) [21] inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) [22], electro thermal-atomic absorption spectrometry (ETAAS) [23], electrochemistry [24,25], fluorometry [26], chemiluminescence [27]. These methods can easily detect the total amount of chromium but they cannot distinguish between Cr(III) and Cr(VI). FAAS is one of the most extensively used techniques due to its low cost and great simplicity. A preconcentration and separation method is necessary in order to improve the sensitivity and selectivity of FAAS.

DLLME was first introduced in 2006 by Assadi and coworkers [28]. This method is based on ternary system, where the mixture of disperser solvent such as methanol (CH₃OH), tetrahydrofuran (THF), acetone (CH₃COCH₃), acetonitrile (CH₃CN) and extraction solvent such as chloroform (CHCl₃), carbon disulfide (CS₂), carbon tetrachloride (CCl₄), tetra chloroethylene (C₂Cl₄), chlorobenzene (C₆H₅Cl) at a few micro liter is injected into the aqueous sample in order to form a cloudy solution. The interest target is extracted to the extraction solvent and deposit after centrifugation [29]. DLLME can be combined with different techniques such as solidification of floating organic droplet (DLLME-SFO), Solid-phase extraction (DLLME-SPE) and Supercritical fluid extraction (DLLME-SFE) [30]. Up to now, there are only three articles that utilize DLLME procedure to determine chromium [23,31,32].

The Schiff’s bases make selective and stable hydrophobic complexes with transition metal ions because of their special structure. They have unique properties and reactivity. Also they are used as spectrophotometric reagents or as ionophore in electrochemical sensors [33]. Bis(2-methoxybenzaldehyde) ethylene diimine is a new Schiff’s base ligand which makes a selective complex with Cr(III). Whereas Cr(VI) is unable to form a complex with Schiff’s base ligand, because it does not have any unpaired electron or empty orbital. Consequently ligand charge transfer does not occur.

Regarding to above mentioned topics, our strategy is based on three steps: 1) solvent extraction, 2) preconcentration, 3) speciation. As will be described later, speciation of two oxidation states of Chromium was performed in order to distinguish them. In this procedure DLLME and FAAS were combined to determine the trace amount of chromium in environmental water, wastewater, and milk samples with satisfactory results.

**EXPERIMENTAL**

**Apparatus**

A Shimadzu atomic absorption spectrometer (Model AA-680G) was used for determination of chromium. The instrumental parameters were adjusted according to the manufacturer’s recommendations. A Metrohm pH meter (model; 713, Switzerland) was used for pH measurements. Test tubes with conical bottom were used as extraction and centrifuging vessel in order to trap and remove the sediment phase. A 100 µl micro syringe (Hamilton model CH-7402 Switzerland) was used to collect the sediment organic phase. A centrifuge (centurion scientific Ltd., model: 1020D) was used to accelerate the sedimentation of the rich phase in the process of microextraction.

**Reagents and Solutions**

All the chemicals used were of analytical-reagent grade with high purity from Merck Co. (Darmstadt, Germany) unless it is mentioned. All aqueous solutions were prepared...
in double distilled water. A stock standard solutions of Cr(III) and Cr(VI) (1000 µg ml⁻¹) were prepared by dissolving the appropriate amount of Cr(NO₃)₃·9H₂O and K₂Cr₂O₇, respectively, and the working standard solutions were prepared daily by a stepwise dilution of the stock solution with distilled water. Carbonate buffer (pH 10) was used for pH adjustment. A 0.05 M solution of sodium hydrogen carbonate (NaHCO₃) was prepared by dissolving the appropriate amount in double distilled water then NaOH was added until desired pH obtained. A solution of 0.001 M Na₂SO₃ was prepared by dissolving appropriate amount of sodium sulfite in double distilled water. A solution of 1.0 × 10⁻² M bis(2-methoxybenzaldehyde) ethylene diamine was prepared by dissolving 0.0296 g of ligand in 10 ml chloroform. Laboratory glassware were kept overnight in 10% nitric acid solution and rinsed 3 times with double deionized water prior to use.

Synthesis of Schiff’s Base [(CH₃)₂Salen]

For synthesis of Schiff’s base, 0.3 g Ethylene diamine was dissolved in 40 ml ethanol. The resulted solution was transferred into a 250 ml three necked flask under reflux, then 1.36 g of 2-methoxybenzaldehyde in 30 ml ethanol was added in dropwise manner to above solution, which was kept reacting for 60 min. After it was cooled to the room temperature, it was filtered and recrystallized with ethanol. Finally it was vacuum dried for 12 h. Elemental analysis of bis(2-methoxybenzaldehyde) ethylene diamine gave the following percentages: Analytical Calculated for C₁₀H₁₆N₂O₄: C, 72.95%; H, 6.80%; N, 9.54%; O, 10.71%. Found: C, 72.86%; H, 6.73%; N, 9.52%; O, 10.89%. This hydrophobic ligand is very stable and has high solubility in methanol. Oxygens and nitrogens act as σ-donor and π-acceptor in reaction with chromium. In acidic medium nitrogens are protonated [19].

Sample Preparation

Preparation of water samples. The River water samples were collected from Chamran River (Shiraz, Iran). The water sample must not be acidified before storage because this would change the chemical species. Therefore all the samples were analyzed as soon as possible. All samples were collected in clean polyethylene bottles.

Preparation of milk sample. Non-fatted long life liquid cow’s milk (Shirin Asal) was purchased from the local market. For determination of chromium, a 0.5 ml sample and 5.0 ml of HNO₃ was heated in the glass beaker to dryness. After the sample was cooled, 3.0 ml of H₂O₂ (30%) was added and heated to obtain nearly 0.5 ml sample solution. After cooling down the sample to room temperature, it was diluted to 50 ml with deionized water [34,35].

Preparation of waste water sample. Wastewater sample was collected from electroplating workshop (Shiraz, Iran). The wastewater sample was filtered through 0.45 µm membrane filter. 10 ml of the filtered sample was poured into a 25 ml flask and 1 ml concentrated nitric acid (65%) and 4 ml perchloric acid (70%) was added. The solution was left until digestion was complete (a cloudy solution appeared). In order to redissolve the residue, 10 ml of 0.5 M HCl was added. The resultant solution was filtered and diluted with deionized water in 50 ml volumetric flask [24].

General Procedure for DLLME

A 10 ml sample solution containing Cr(III) was adjusted to pH = 10 with 1mL carbonate buffer in a glass tube with a conical bottom. Then, a mixture containing 500 µl methanol (disperser solvent), 70 µl chloroform (extraction solvent) containing 1.0 × 10⁻⁴ M of bis (2-methoxybenzaldehyde) ethylene diamine (complexing agent) was rapidly injected into the sample solution by using 1.0 ml syringe. As a result, a cloudy solution was obtained. In this stage, the Cr-Schiff's base ligand complex was extracted into fine droplets of chloroform and the solution was then centrifuged for 3 min at 3000 rpm. The extraction phase (~30 µl) was removed by using a micro-syringe and 0.5 ml of HNO₃ 0.1 M in ethanol was added to it. The final solution was aspirated into the flame of AAS. Total chromium was determined after the reduction of Cr(VI) to Cr(III) by 10⁻³ M sodium sulfite for about 30 min. Cr(VI) concentrations were obtained as the respective difference between total chromium and Cr(III). Figure 1 shows a scheme of the DLLME procedure.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Effect of pH

Type and concentration of chromium species are
dependent on different processes such as hydrolysis, complexation, redox and adsorption reactions. Stability of a complex and extraction of Cr(III) is highly dependent on pH. The effect of pH on the DLLME extraction was studied over the pH range of 3-13. At low pH protons can compete with the metal for the coordination sites of the ligand, and in basic media of sample solution displacement reaction and more stable complex formation like $[\text{Cr(OH)}_4]^{-}$ and $\text{Cr(OH)}_3$ can occur. At pH 10, the highest absorbance was obtained (Fig. 2), because selective hydrophobic complex was formed between complexing agent and Cr(III). Therefore pH 10 was selected for further studies.

**Effect of Complexing Agent Concentration**

The effect of different concentrations of bis(2-methoxybenzaldehyde) ethylene diimine on the recovery of Cr(III) was examined. Based on the results shown in Fig. 3, the optimum concentration of the chelating agent was found to be $1 \times 10^{-4}$ M. Lower concentrations of the ligand was not enough to react with chromium and the excess of the ligand dissolves the hydrophobic metal-chelate and saturates the organic solvent.

**Effect of Extraction Time**

Extraction time is defined as the interval time between the injection of binary solution and beginning of centrifuge. This effect was examined in the range of 1-5 min. The obtained results showed that extraction procedure was time independent. It is verified that an infinite large surface is
Fig. 3. Optimization of concentration of Schiff’s base ligand. Sample volume, 10 ml; Cr(III), 50 µg l⁻¹; pH, 10; extracting solvent, 70 µl (chloroform); disperser solvent, 0.5 ml (methanol); dilution solvent (ethanol).

devolved between extraction solvent and aqueous phase after the formation of cloudy solution. An extraction time of 1 min was adopted throughout the experiments.

Effect of Type and Volume of the Extraction Solvent

The suitable extraction solvent should meet some requirements such as higher density than water, low solubility in water, nonvolatile, high extraction capability of the interested compounds. Different extraction solvents have different solubility. Therefore, 70 µl CHCl₃, 50 µl CS₂, 40 µl CCl₄, 35 µl C₂Cl₄, 40 µl C₆H₅Cl were studied as extraction solvent to get fixed amount of sediment phase. Chloroform is able to form a well stable cloudy solutions and it was rapidly produced. It was chosen for the present experiment due to the higher solubility of complexing agent. Results showed that chloroform has the maximum extraction efficiency, selectivity and hydrophobicity.

The preconcentration factor is strongly dependent on the volume of the sediment chloroform phase. Various volumes of chloroform, 70, 80, 90, 100 and 110 µl were used as extraction solvent. It was observed that the extraction efficiency was decreased by increasing the volume of chloroform. This is because the small volume of disperser solvent faces the large volume of extraction solvent and therefore the formations of tiny droplets are reduced. Based on these observations, 70 µl chloroform was used for further experiments.

Effect of Type and Volume of Disperser Solvent

The disperser solvent has influence on the formation of the cloudy solution and the infinite interface produced increases the mass transfer procedure. Disperser solvent must be miscible in sample solution and extraction solvent. 500 µl of CH₃OH, CH₃COCH₃ and CH₃CN were tested as disperser solvents. Methanol showed the highest signal, more stable cloudy solution and more compatibility with aqueous solution (Fig. 4).

The different volume of methanol gave different volume of sediment phase when the volume of chloroform was constant. To obtain the optimized volume of methanol, 250, 500, 750, 1000 and 1500 µl methanol with 65, 70, 75, 80, 100 µl chloroform were examined. At low volume, methanol could not disperse chloroform completely and cloudy solution was not formed. On the contrary, at high volumes,
the presence of various amounts of interfering ions was examined. In order to demonstrate the selectivity of the proposed method, the interfering ions such as: Na⁺, K⁺, Mg²⁺, Ca²⁺, Al³⁺, Fe³⁺, Pb²⁺, Zn²⁺, Cd²⁺, Co²⁺, Ni²⁺, Cu²⁺ were examined. Of course, the high concentration of chromium can decrease this competition effect. To observe these effects, various salts with different concentrations were added to each sample solution containing 50.0 μg l⁻¹ of Cr(III). An ion was considered as interfere when its presence caused more than 5% variation in the absorbance of the sample. The results demonstrate that the chromium was quantitatively extracted and coexisting ions did not interfere in the chromium extraction process (Table 1).

**Selection of the Diluting Agent**

Volume of sediment phase depends on the volume of organic solvent, sample solution and the solubility of extraction solvent. An appropriate diluting agent should have some characteristic such as: good burning characteristics, not having a low-boiling point, compatibility with direct injection into FAAS. Methanol and ethanol were examined as diluting agents. Ethanol showed better absorbance and more compatibility with FAAS. Finally, the diluting agent was acidized with nitric acid in order to persuade dissociation of analyte ions complexes and further releasing of Cr into solution. Therefore, 0.5 ml of HNO₃ 0.1 M in ethanol was chosen to dilute the settled phase [36,37].

**Selection of Reducing Agent**

In order to examine the effect of reducing agents, Na₂SO₃ (1 × 10⁻³ M) [21] and ascorbic acid (10% W/V) [38,39] were chosen as reducing reagents to reduce Cr(VI) to Cr(III). An efficiency of 100% was obtained by Na₂SO₃, while a reduction of 67% was observed when ascorbic acid solution was used. Therefore Na₂SO₃ was selected for the following experiments.

**Figures of merits**

Repeatability, linearity, correlation coefficient and detection limit were investigated under the optimized experimental conditions. The calibration graph was linear in the range of 1-50 μg l⁻¹ with a correlation coefficient of 0.9990 (A = 0.0023C + 0.003, where C and A are concentration and absorbance, respectively). The limit of

Table 2. Determination of Cr(VI) and Cr(III) in Water, Milk and Waste Water Samples (Results of Recoveries of Spiked Samples are for Three Replicate Determinations)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Added (µg l⁻¹)</th>
<th>Found (µg l⁻¹), Mean ± SD</th>
<th>Recovery (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cr(III)</td>
<td>Cr(VI)</td>
<td>Cr(III)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tap water</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9.9 (2.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>River water</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11.4 (2.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>21.6 (2.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cow’s Milk</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>47.6 (3.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>57.6 (2.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste water</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>73 (2.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>82.8 (3.1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Not detected.

Detection was 0.7 µg l⁻¹. The limit of quantification was found to be at the 2.3 µg l⁻¹ level. The relative standard deviation (R.S.D.) for seven replicate measurements was 2.7. Preconcentration factor which was calculated as the ratio between the volume of the aqueous phase and the final volume was about 20.

Analytical Applications

The accuracy of the proposed method was investigated with known amounts of Chromium ions. The recoveries of Cr(III) from samples spiked with 10 µg l⁻¹ Cr(III) were also studied. The analytical results are presented in Table 2. As could be seen, the recoveries of Cr(III) for the three spiked samples were in the range of 98-102%. Three replicate measurement was carried out on each sample (n = 3). These results indicate the applicability and efficiency of the developed procedure for determination of Cr(III).

Comparison of DLLME Method with other Methods

Table 3 compares the characteristic data of the proposed method with other preconcentration methods for Cr(III) reported in literature. The detection limit and preconcentration factor of the proposed method is comparable to those reported methods with only 10 ml of the sample solution.

CONCLUSIONS

This work has been demonstrated combination of DLLME with FAAS provides a novel route for determination and speciation of chromium in real samples. In this method, the sample preparation time as well as the consumption of the toxic organic solvents is minimized without affecting the sensitivity of the method. The main advantages of this method were: low toxicity, simplicity, low cost, high sensitivity, and rapid analysis time. The Schiff’s base ligand was successfully used as complexing agent for preconcentration of Cr(III) in presence of other coexisting ions. The presented method was applicable for determination of Chromium in environmental water, milk and wastewater with satisfactory results.
Table 3. Comparison of Characteristic Data of the Proposed Method such as: Recovery (ER), Preconcentration Factor (PF), Limitation of detection (LOD), Relative Standard Deviation (R.S.D), Linear Range (LR), Regression ($R^2$) with other Previous Techniques for Determination of Chromium

| Method         | Apparatus | Species | Reagent                          | ER     | PF   | LOD  ($\mu$g l$^{-1}$) | R.S.D (%) | LR   ($\mu$g l$^{-1}$) | $R^2$ | Ref.          |
|----------------|-----------|---------|----------------------------------|--------|------|------------------------|-----------|------|----------------------|------|--------------|
| SPE            | ICP-OES   | Cr(III) | Chitosan-modified Ordered Mesoporous Silica | 96-104 | 200  | 0.0106                 | 6.4       | 0.05-10 | 0.9924               | [21] |              |
| DLLME          | UV-Vis    | Cr(VI)  | DIC$^c$                          | 95.2-104.8 | - | 30         | -           | 100-520 | -                   | [41] |              |
| SM-DLLME-SFO$^a$ | UV-Vis    | Cr(VI)  | Diphenylcarbazide & sodiumdodecyl sulfate | 96-104 | 50  | 0.23                   | 3.8       | 1-40   | -                   | [42] |              |
| IL-DLLME$^b$   | ETAAS     | Cr(VI)  | APDC                             | -      | 300  | 0.07                   | 9.2       | 0.5-80 | 0.9991               | [23] |              |
| DLLME          | HPLC      | Cr(VI)  | APDC                             | 93.4   | -    | 1.0                    | 3.1       | 25-200 | 0.9978               | [32] |              |
|                |           | Cr(III) |                                   | 95.8   | -    | 1.9                    | 1.8       | 0.9977 |                      |      |              |
| DLLME          | FAAS      | Cr(III) | PAN$^c$                          | 84-115 | 48   | 0.7                    | 2         | 2.5-80 | 0.9964               | [10] |              |
| CPE            | FAAS      | Cr(III) | DPDC$^e$                         | 98.2-103.6 | 16.7 | 0.41                   | 4         | 3-800  | 0.998                | [40] |              |
| RTIL-DLLME$^e$ | FAAS      | Cr(VI)  | DDTC$^d$                         | 96.9-105.6 | 16.7 | 0.41                   | 4         | 3-800  | 0.998                | [40] |              |
|                |           | Cr(III) |                                   | 96.9-105.6 | 16.7 | 0.41                   | 4         | 3-800  | 0.998                | [40] |              |
| DLLME          |            | Cr(VI)  | APDC                             | 101.1  | -    | 0.07                   | 2.0       | 0.3-20 | 0.9996               | [31] |              |
|                | micro sample introduction |       | Total Cr                          | 275    | 262  | 0.08                   | 2.6       | 0.3-20 | 0.9995               | [31] |              |
| DLLME          | FAAS      | Cr(III) | bis(2-methoxybenzaldehyde) ethylene diamine | 98-102 | 20   | 0.7                    | 2.7       | 1-50   | 0.999                | present work |              |

$^a$Supramolecular dispersive liquid-liquid microextraction method based on the solidification of floating organic drops.
$^b$Ionic liquid dispersive liquid–liquid microextraction.
$^c$1-(2-Pyrid ilazo)-2-naphtol.
$^d$Dimethylindocarbocyanine dye reagent.
$^e$Room temperature ionic liquid-based dispersive liquid-liquid microextraction.
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